

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/535,685	05/19/2005	Georg Rudiger Kotzian	70176	7932
26748 SVNGENTA (EXAMINER	
SYNGENTA CROP PROTECTION , INC. PATENT AND TRADEMARK DEPARTMENT			SULLIVAN, DANIELLE D	
410 SWING R GREENSBOR	- · · - -		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
0	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		4133	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/535,685	KOTZIAN, GEORG RUDIGER			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Danielle Sullivan	4133			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER; FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period way reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timusely and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Ju 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims	•				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	·				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the output of the output of the output of the examine sheet (s) including the correction of the output of the ou	epted or b) \square objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 05/19/2005 and 06/17/2005.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	nte			

Application/Control Number: 10/535,685

Art Unit: 4133

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "the two-component mixture of metamifop with benzobicyclon being excluded" is confusing. The combination of metamifop with benzobicyclon is claimed where benzobicyclon is included in the Markush group of b). However, stating the two-component mixture is excluded is confusing and renders the claims indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al. (WO 00/05956).

Application/Control Number: 10/535,685 Page 3

Art Unit: 4133

Applicant's Invention

Applicant claims a composition comprising a mixture of metamifop (component (a)) and a synergistically effective amount of another compound selected from various herbicides (component (b)).

Determination of the scope and the content of the prior art (MPEP 2141.01)

Kim et al. teaches the compound metamifop (component (a)) (formula (1), wherein R=CH₃, X=H and Y=H (Table 1, first listing, page 5). A method of using the compound to control barnyard grass produced from rice is also disclosed (page 1, lines 6-19). The herbicide can be used alone or in combination with other herbicides, insecticides or bactericides (page 21, lines 18-20). It is essential to add one or more agents selected from the 2,4-D and various sulfonylurea herbicides, eg. bensulfuronmethyl, metsulfuron-methyl, amidosulfuron, etc. (page 21, lines 20-28).

Ascertainment of the difference between the prior art and the claims (MPEP 2141.02)

Kim et al. does not teach the specific synergistically effective compounds or combinations disclosed in claims 1 and 2 (component (b)).

Finding of prima facie obviousness

Rationale and Motivation (MPEP 2142-2143)

Art Unit: 4133

Lanulle Lilling

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Kim et al. to further include the herbicides disclosed in claims 1 and 2. One would have been motivated to include these herbicides because some are also sulfonylurea herbicides (sulfosulfuron, iodosulfuron, imazosulfuron, etc.) used in the art for the same purpose and different combinations of herbicidal formulations are desirable to decrease resistance.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kim et al. (WO 03/037085) and Davies et al. (Review Herbicide Safeners: A Review, 1999).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Danielle Sullivan whose telephone number is (571) 270-3285. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM - 5:00 PM Mon-Thur EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Stucker can be reached on (571) 272-0911. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/535,685

Art Unit: 4133

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 5

Danielle Sullivan Patent Examiner